Editorial

This is yet another effort from the York stable—hopefully the last for a long time. It is meant to be a rapid feedback from the Conference at the end of February; given our usual turnaround, it's not too bad! The Conference was highly enjoyable, many thanks to Steve and others for doing sterling work at a distance, and we have accordingly endeavoured to extract full reports from everyone who presented on the day.

The 'AGM' part of the Conference day generated a lively debate which Steve has done his best to reflect. You never know—perhaps we'll still be able to have a coming-of-age conference.

We have included one other item: the report by Steve Simpson on the interests of the respondents to the survey of members. As he says, there were many drawbacks to the survey: but the dominance of health stands out. Yet the balance of the Conference programme itself suggests a wider range of interests. Is no-one out there prepared to own up to not being hypochondriacs?

Finally, we have to own up to a unilateral decision to up the professionalism stakes. It cost about an extra £64.00—was it worth it?

P.S. We were going to write a piece on the epidemiology of B.S.E.—Rad Stats always being on the scent etc.—but even including the obvious sufferers, the cells were too small for our own computer.

. up
cold-water
..ies contemplating

. rleaven under the El and saw
..ıı angels staggering on tenement roofs
..ıiated,
passed through universities with radiant cool eyes hallu-
cinating Arkansas and Blake-light tragedy among the
scholars of war,
who were expelled from the academies for crazy & publishi-
obscene odes on the windows of the skull
who cowered in unshaven rooms in underw-
money in wastebaskets and
through the wall,
who got busted in th-
redo w-
who a-